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Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 29 January 2013 revoking European patent No. 1896006 pursuant to Article 101(3)(b) EPC.
Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. Lindner
Members: R. Hauss
         L. Bühler
Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. Following the grant of European patent No. 1 896 006, two notices of opposition to that patent were validly filed. This appeal lies from the decision of the opposition division revoking the opposed patent.

II. With its statement setting out the grounds of appeal, the patentee (appellant) requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and that the patent be maintained on the basis of the claims as granted (which amounts to a request for rejection of the oppositions). Subsidiarily, the appellant requested that the patent be maintained in amended form on the basis of one of auxiliary requests 1 to 9 (all submitted with the statement of grounds).

III. In their respective replies to the appellant's statement of grounds, the respondents (opponents 1 and 2) requested that the appeal be dismissed.

IV. The board issued a summons to oral proceedings.

V. With letter dated 2 February 2018, the appellant stated the following:

"The Patente hereby disapproves the text of the granted patent.

The Patente will not be submitting an amended text.

Art. 113(2) EPC states that the European Patent Office shall examine, and decide upon, the European patent application or the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by the applicant or the proprietor of the patent."

VI. The board cancelled the oral proceedings.
Reasons for the Decision

In the specific context of the appellant's declaration of 2 February 2018 (see above point V), the statement that "the Patentee will not be submitting an amended text" can only be regarded as a withdrawal of the then pending auxiliary requests.

According to established case law of the boards of appeal, the declaration of the appellant, as the proprietor of a patent that has been revoked by the opposition division, is to be interpreted as the withdrawal of its appeal (see e.g. decisions T 1244/08 and T 2054/08).

Consequently, the decision under appeal becomes final.
Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.
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