

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 27 September 2024**

Case Number: T 0974/24 - 3.5.05

Application Number: 20177153.2

Publication Number: 3726741

IPC: H04B7/06

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Channel reservation techniques for millimeter wave systems

Applicant:

QUALCOMM Incorporated

Headword:

No statement of grounds of appeal/QUALCOMM

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of the appeal (no): missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0974/24 - 3.5.05

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.05
of 27 September 2024

Appellant: QUALCOMM Incorporated
(Applicant) 5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714 (US)

Representative: Dunlop, Hugh Christopher
Maucher Jenkins
Seventh Floor Offices
Artillery House
11-19 Artillery Row
London SW1P 1RT (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 29 January 2024
refusing European patent application
No. 20177153.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair K. Bengi-Akyürek
Members: J. Eraso Helguera
C. Almberg

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal was lodged against the decision of the examining division to refuse the present European patent application.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 27 March 2024 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. However, no statement of grounds of appeal was received within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC.
- III. By communication of 25 July 2024, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed. It was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was also informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication, or the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible.
- IV. No observations were filed.

Reasons for the Decision

1. The appeal is not admissible as it does not meet the requirements set out in Article 108 EPC.
 - 1.1 No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.

- 1.2 Furthermore, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be construed as a statement setting out the grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.
2. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



B. Brückner

K. Bengi-Akyürek

Decision electronically authenticated